



OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT PANEL

**"CALL IN"
REQUEST**

WE THE UNDERSIGNED WISH TO "CALL IN" FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT PANEL THE DECISION(S) OF THE CABINET TAKEN ON THE 04 DAY OF JULY 2014 IN RELATION TO THE REPORT/MINUTE NUMBER SHOWN BELOW:

- ① • councillor LEE HUNT (Sign) _____ (Print)
- ② • councillor MARGARET FOSTON (Sign) _____ (Print)
- ③ • councillor DARRON SANDERS (Sign) _____ (Print)
- councillor _____ (Sign) _____ (Print)
- councillor _____ (Sign) _____ (Print)

Dated _____

PTG

<u>AGENDA ITEM/MINUTE NO.</u>	
<u>REPORT TITLE / MINUTE / DATE OF CABINET MEETING / PORTFOLIO DECISION MEETING / THIS DATE</u>	
<u>TRAFFIC & TRANSPORTATION MEETING: CENTRAL SOUTH RESIDENTS' PARKING SCHEME HB ZONE AND NC ZONE 3 ETC</u>	
<u>REASONS FOR "CALL IN" and WHY</u>	
	Believe the decision may be based on inaccurate or incorrect information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Believe the decision may have been taken without adequate information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Believe the decision may be contrary to the Council's agreed Budget and policy framework <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

24/7/14

Once completed please return to Local Democracy Manager
Telephone: 023 9283 4055 Fax: 023 9284 1322

- Please provide a brief summary of reasons for call in
- Please state what alternative action it is proposed should be taken by decision maker

* SEE ATTACHED.

INADEQUATE FINANCIAL INFORMATION;
DID NOT ASK RESIDENTS WHAT THEY
THOUGHT; INADEQUATE INFORMATION
AND INCORRECT INFORMATION SURROUNDING
VIABILITY OF MC & MB ZONES. I GNORED
OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS OVER MB ZONE.
LACK OF INFORMATION ON MB & MC ZONE
COMPARED TO OTHER PARKING SCHEMES;
FAILED TO PUBLISH AND MAKE AVAILABLE
AND ACT ON THE CONSULTATION IN THE
ZONES SURVEYED 1 TO 5; THEREBY,
DENYING THE PUBLIC ACCESS TO THIS
INFORMATION; THIS INCLUDES FAILING
TO PUBLISH THIS INFORMATION BEFORE
MAKING A DECISION.

2/2 2/3

APPENDIX ONE



Portsmouth
CITY COUNCIL

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT PANEL

**"CALL IN"
REQUEST**

WE THE UNDERSIGNED WISH TO "CALL IN" FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT PANEL THE DECISION(S) OF THE CABINET TAKEN ON THEDAY OF.....20XX IN RELATION TO THE REPORT/MINUTE NUMBER SHOWN BELOW:

- councillor _____ (Sign) _____ (Print)
- ④ • councillor _____ (Sign) MICHAEL ANDREWS (Print)
- ⑤ • councillor _____ (Sign) HUGH MASON (Print)
- councillor _____ (Sign) _____ (Print)
- councillor _____ (Sign) _____ (Print)

Dated _____

<u>AGENDA ITEM/MINUTE NO.</u>		
<u>REPORT TITLE / MINUTE / DATE OF CABINET MEETING / PORTFOLIO DECISION MEETING / MIS DATE</u>		<u>TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT MEETING - CENTRAL SOUTH A</u> <u>MR DAVE AND MC PONE ETC</u> <u>24/7/2016</u>
<u>REASONS FOR "CALL IN" and WHY</u>	Believe the decision may be based on inaccurate or incorrect information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Believe the decision may have been taken without adequate information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Believe the decision may be contrary to the council's agreed Budget and policy framework <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

**Once completed please return to Local Democracy Manager
Telephone: 023 9283 4055 Fax: 023 9284 1322**

- Please provide a brief summary of reasons for call in
- Please state what alternative action it is proposed should be taken by decision maker

3/3

We believe the decision may be based on inaccurate information or incorrect information or may have been taken without adequate information:

- There was inadequate financial information.
- They did not ask residents what they thought: There was no consultation on the suspension of the MC and MB zones and there has been no review of the MC zone.
- Ignored officer recommendation on the MB zone: The decision taken on the MB zone to completely suspend it (not just operate it at specific times) was not an option on the committee paper and so residents may have been denied the opportunity to voice their views on this if they had known that it was a possibility.
- Other options were not considered and information not supplied on them: If the aim by the Cabinet Member was to remove parking zones in Southsea then removal of the KB, KC, KD, LA, LB and MA zones was not considered.
- The surveys around the MC zone were not considered or published prior to the meeting either on the website or as part of the decision meeting papers. The previous two surveys in some of these areas were not considered.
- The report of the Parking Officer of 2nd August 2005 was also not considered. This said: "Southsea around Albert Road - this area has long been affected by social parking from the pubs and restaurants in the area and also the Kings Theatre. There has been in some parts also been a knock on effect from displaced parking from the west Southsea scheme."

The decision may be contrary to the council's agreed Budget and policy framework:

- The current procedures for reviewing residents parking zones says that it will be done 12 months after the introduction of the zone. It is not yet 12 months since the introduction of the MC zone.
- The procedures for introducing residents parking zones say that informal surveys will be considered with a view to proceeding to a TRO. This has not happened with the areas around the MC zones. The only reason seems to be "turnout" of those replying to the survey but this is contrary to the council's current policy where there needs to be no minimum level of people returning surveys to proceed.

Alternative action proposed:

- That MB and MC zones are not suspended. That MC zone is reviewed after 12 months in line with the council's policies on residents parking zones and a decision on its continuation taken following the results of that consultation.
- That the survey results for the five areas around MC zone are published and considered by a meeting of the Cabinet Member for Transport and Traffic with a view to bringing forward TROs to introduce residents parking zones in the areas where a majority were in favour

